

Original Article



Prediction of Alzheimer's Pathological Changes in Subjective Cognitive Decline Using the Self-report Questionnaire and Neuroimaging Biomarkers

Yun Jeong Hong ^{1,2}, Kyung Won Park,³ Do-Young Kang ⁴, Jae-Hong Lee⁵

¹Department of Neurology, Uijeongbu St. Mary's Hospital, Uijeongbu, Korea

²Biomedical Research Institute, Pusan National University Hospital, Busan, Korea

³Department of Neurology, Cognitive Disorders and Dementia Center, Dong-A University College of Medicine, Busan, Korea

⁴Department of Nuclear Medicine, Dong-A University College of Medicine, Busan, Korea

⁵Department of Neurology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

OPEN ACCESS

Received: Feb 24, 2019

Revised: Mar 27, 2019

Accepted: Apr 9, 2019

Correspondence to

Kyung Won Park, MD, PhD

Department of Neurology, Cognitive Disorders and Dementia Center, Dong-A University College of Medicine, 26 Daesingongwon-ro, Seo-gu, Busan, Korea.

E-mail: neuropark@dau.ac.kr

© 2019 Korean Dementia Association

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Yun Jeong Hong 

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4996-4981>

Do-Young Kang 

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1688-0818>

Funding

This study was supported by Korean Dementia Association (2016) and Biomedical Research Institute Grant (2018B031), Pusan National University Hospital.

ABSTRACT

Background and Purpose: Subjective cognitive decline (SCD) may be the first symptomatic stage of Alzheimer's disease (AD). Hence, a screening tool to characterize the patients' complaints and assess the risk of AD is required. We investigated the SCD neuroimaging biomarker distributions and the relevance between the self-report questionnaire and Alzheimer's pathologic changes.

Methods: Individuals aged 50 and above with consistent cognitive complaints without any objective cognitive impairments were eligible for the study. The newly developed questionnaire consisted of 2 parts; 10 questions translated from the 'SCD-plus criteria' and a Korean version of the cognitive failure questionnaire by Broadbent. All the subjects underwent physical examinations such as blood work, detailed neuropsychological tests, the self-report questionnaire, brain magnetic resonance imagings, and florbetaben positron emission tomography (PET) scans. Amyloid PET findings were interpreted using both visual rating and quantitative analysis. Group comparisons and association analysis were performed using SPSS (version 18.0).

Results: A total of 31 participants with SCD completed the study and 25.8% showed positive amyloid depositions. The degree of periventricular white matter hyperintensities (WMH) and hippocampal atrophy were more severe in amyloid-positive SCDs compared to the amyloid-negative group. In the self-reported questionnaire, the 'informant's report a decline' and 'symptom's onset after 65 years of age' were associated with more Alzheimer's pathologic changes.

Conclusions: Amyloid-positive SCDs differed from amyloid-negative SCDs on WMH, hippocampal atrophy, and a few self-reported clinical features, which gave clues on the prediction of AD pathology.

Keywords: Subjective Cognitive Decline; Alzheimer's Disease; Neuroimaging Biomarker; Cognitive Complaint; Self-reported Questionnaire; Amyloid PET

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Hong YJ, Park KW, Lee JH;
Data curation: Hong YJ; Formal analysis: Hong YJ;
Funding acquisition: Hong YJ; Investigation: Hong YJ, Park KW, Kang DY; Methodology: Hong YJ, Lee JH; Project administration: Hong YJ; Resources: Hong YJ; Software: Hong YJ; Supervision: Park KW, Kang DY, Lee JH; Writing - original draft: Hong YJ; Writing - review & editing: Hong YJ, Park KW.

INTRODUCTION

Subjective cognitive decline (SCD) is a clinical diagnosis when subjects complain of consistent cognitive decline without any objective cognitive impairment in detailed neuropsychological tests.^{1,3} It is known to be a risk factor for the progression of Alzheimer's disease (AD) and is purported to be the first symptomatic stage of the AD continuum disorders.^{2,4,5} SCD is also depicted to be a heterogeneous condition including the later stages of preclinical AD, other neurological disorders, systemic medical conditions, personality disorders, and psychiatric diseases. Thus, it is clinically important to use sensitive clinical markers in the categorization of subjective complaints and prediction of AD-related pathologies. Previous studies have explored relevant clinical factors associated with Alzheimer's pathologic changes.⁶⁻⁹ However, clinical features of the subjective complaints that are sensitive in the detection of AD pathology have not yet been identified. In 2014, an international work group, SCD Initiative, proposed specific features that may predict AD.² Nonetheless, investigations on whether the proposed features can discriminate AD and non-AD in SCD have not been fully explored in real practice. We hypothesized some features among the expert suggestions would be useful to detect preclinical AD. We examined neuroimaging biomarker distributions of SCD and explored the relevance between the self-report questionnaire and Alzheimer's pathologic changes.

METHODS**Participants**

This study was performed at a university-affiliated dementia clinic from December 2016 to August 2017. The elderly subjects who had visited the hospital for evaluations of dementia and were diagnosed with SCD after routine work-ups, including detailed neuropsychological tests and brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), were consecutively recruited during the study period. To be eligible for the current prospective study, subjects had to meet the following criteria: 1) existence of consistent self-reported cognitive complaints; 2) older than 50 years old; 3) normal performance (above -1.0 standard deviation of norms) on all subtests of detailed neuropsychological tests; 4) clinical dementia rating (CDR)¹⁰ score of 0; 5) literate; and 6) who agreed to participate in the study and could visit the hospital for evaluations. Subjects were excluded from the study if they had following conditions: 1) uncontrolled medical conditions which can cause cognitive impairment; 2) neurologic disorders such as Parkinson's disease, Huntington's disease, or normal pressure hydrocephalus; 3) major psychiatric disorders such as uncontrolled depression, schizophrenia, alcoholism, or drug dependency; 4) mild cognitive impairment (MCI) or dementia; 5) abnormal lab findings such as abnormal thyroid function, low vitamin B12 or low folate, or positive syphilis serology; and 6) brain lesions known to cause cognitive impairment (tumor, stroke, or subdural hematoma). Additionally, all participants underwent physical examinations, neurologic examinations, apolipoprotein ϵ genotyping, the self-report questionnaire for SCD, and florbetaben positron emission tomography (PET) scans. Previous brain MRI results (within 6 months), florbetaben PET findings (within 6 months), apolipoprotein ϵ genotype, neuropsychological tests (within 3 months), and blood lab findings (within 3 months) were permitted. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (#DAUHIRB-16-232) and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Self-report questionnaire for SCD

The newly developed questionnaire consisted of 2 parts; 10 questions to characterize complaints recommended by experts² and a Korean version of cognitive failure questionnaire (CFQ) by Broadbent et al.¹¹ The first section was composed of 10 questions associated with an increased likelihood of preclinical AD in individuals with SCD. Some of the questions incorporated in the 'SCD-plus features' include: 1) Do you have memory decline? (yes/no); 2) Do you have any non-memory domain decline? (yes/no); 3) If a non-memory domain has declined, please specify; 4) Which domain declined first? 5) Age at onset of the decline; 6) Relative scoring compared with the past (range, 1–5; 5 is the best); 7) Feeling of worse performance than others of the same age group (yes/no); 8) Relative scoring compared with others of the same age group (range, 1–5; 5 is the best); 9) Concerns (worries) about SCD (yes/no); and 10) Confirmation of cognitive decline by an informant (yes/no). We have translated the 10 key questions that should be coded in SCD studies to identify features relevant to Alzheimer's pathologic changes. The second component, the CFQ, is the 25-item questionnaire developed for the evaluation of self-reported failures in perception and memory functions. Its score ranges from 0 to 100, and higher score indicating higher levels of self-cognitive complaints.¹¹ The questionnaire was self-administered at the outpatient clinic.

Neuroimaging

Brain MRI was performed using a 3.0-tesla scanner (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA), including fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR), gradient-echo, and 3-dimensional (3D)-T1 weighted images. The 3D-T1 weighted MRIs were performed in the sagittal plane with a slice thickness of 1.0 mm. The white matter hyperintensities (WMH) were rated using a visual rating scale of axial FLAIR images, in brief, periventricular WMH and deep WMH were separately evaluated and rated as minimal (grade 1), moderate (grade 2), or severe (grade 3).¹² Lacunes of presumed vascular origin were defined as small lesions (3–15 mm in diameter), hyperintense on T2- and hypointense on T1-weighted images, with a perilesional halo on FLAIR. Cerebral cortical microbleeds were defined to be round-shaped and homogeneously-low signal lesions with less than 10 mm-diameters in lobar areas on gradient echo images. Hippocampal atrophy was rated on coronal T1-weighted images using Scheltens visual rating scale.¹³ The mean of the left and right hippocampal atrophy scores was used. The number of lacunes of presumed vascular origin, number of cortical microbleeds, and hippocampal atrophy were assessed by a blinded neurologist.

¹⁸F-florbetaben PET scans were acquired in accordance with the standardized protocol.¹⁴ A whole brain visual assessment was performed by a trained specialist in nuclear medicine who was blinded to the clinical diagnosis using regional uptake ratings (1, no tracer uptake; 2, moderate tracer uptake; and 3, pronounced tracer uptake) in 4 brain areas and a global scoring system named brain amyloid plaque load (BAPL) adapted from previously described techniques.¹⁵ The PET scans were rated as positive amyloidosis with a BAPL score of 2 or 3. Additionally, quantitative neuroimaging analysis using the PET scans was performed to assess the amyloid burden and distributions. Regional amyloid depositions were measured using MATLAB version 2013a and SPM8 (<http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm8>). Individual T1-weighted MRI scans were estimated and co-registered into corresponding PET images. Each individual MR image was normalized to a standardized stereotaxic space and was divided into 3 probabilistic tissue maps such as gray matter, white matter, and cerebral spinal fluid. A volume-based template, incorporating 90 regions-of-interest, was aligned to individual T1-weighted MRI. The voxels of florbetaben PET images were scaled

using the mean uptake value in the cerebellar cortex to calculate the standardized uptake value ratio (SUVR).

Neuropsychological tests

All participants were diagnosed as SCD using a formal neuropsychological test battery, named the Seoul Neuropsychological Screening Battery (SNSB).¹⁶ It includes the Korean version of the Mini-Mental State Examination,¹⁷ CDR, activities of daily living, attention (Digit Span Test), language (Boston Naming Test, tests for comprehension/repetition/fluency), visuospatial function (Rey Complex Figure Test [RCFT]), verbal and visual memory function (Verbal Learning Test and RCFT recall test), and frontal executive function (contrasting program, go/no-go, Controlled Oral Word Association Test, and Stroop Test).¹⁶ These tests were administered by trained neuropsychologists. Age-, sex-, and education-specific norms based on normal controls were used to interpret the SNSB results. Scores of <16th percentile, which were compared to -1 standard deviation of the norm, were defined as abnormal.

Preclinical AD staging

All the participants were divided into 4 groups based on National Institute on Aging and Alzheimer's Association preclinical AD grades.^{4,18} We had 2 sources of data, the florbetaben PET for amyloid depositions and brain MRIs for neurodegenerative changes, to categorize the participants. Since the cut-off points used in the definition of normal or abnormal amyloidosis and neurodegenerations in this population were not confirmed, we used the visual rating scales, BAPL scale and Scheltens scale, for the staging. First, positive findings for amyloidosis (A β +) indicate BAPL scores of 2 or 3. Second, positive findings for neurodegenerative changes (N+) indicate mean hippocampal atrophy scores of 1.5 or higher.¹⁹ SCD participants with A β -N- were grouped as 'stage 0,' A β +N- as 'stage 1,' A β +N+ as 'stage 2,' and A β -N+ as 'suspected non-AD pathophysiology (SNAP).'

Statistical analysis

Independent t-test or nonparametric Mann-Whitney *U*-test were used in the comparison of continuous variables such as baseline demographics and clinical characteristics and amyloid-positive and amyloid-negative SCD groups according to normal distribution patterns. The χ^2 tests were used to compare categorical variables between the 2 groups. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 18.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The *p*-values below 0.05 were considered to denote significant differences.

RESULTS

We consecutively recruited 52 subjects who were clinically diagnosed with SCD and finally 31 participants completed the study evaluations (**Fig. 1**). Among the 31 participants, 8 (25.8%) showed increased amyloid depositions using visual ratings for florbetaben PET scans. The distribution of preclinical AD stages in the participants are shown in **Fig. 2**. Twenty-one participants (67.7%) were in stage 0 (A β -N-), 4 (12.9%) were in stage 1 (A β +N-), 4 (12.9%) were in stage 2 (A β +N+), and 2 (6.5%) were in SNAP (A β -N+).

The basic demographics and clinical characteristics were not significantly different between the amyloid-positive and negative group except for global SUVR, severities of periventricular WMH, and hippocampal atrophy (**Table 1**). The mean global SUVR values were 1.169 \pm 0.052 in amyloid-negative SCDs and 1.461 \pm 0.207 in amyloid-positive SCDs (**Table 1**). Periventricular




Fig. 1. Flowchart of the participants. SCD: subjective cognitive decline, MRI: magnetic resonance imaging, PET: positron emission tomography, N: neurodegeneration; 3D: 3-dimensional.

WMH and hippocampal atrophy were more severe in the amyloid-positive group compared to those in the amyloid-negative group. Moreover, the amyloid positive group tended to be older compared to the amyloid-negative group, although they did not reach statistical significance (Table 1). The results of the neuropsychological test battery did not differ between the 2 groups ($p>0.05$).

Table 2 shows results of the self-report questionnaire of SCD between amyloid-positive and negative groups. Some of the questions on “symptom onset after 65 years-of age” and “informant’s awareness of the decline” showed numerical differences in the incidences but they did not reach a statistical significance (Table 2). By categorization of SCD into 4 preclinical AD grades according to the neuroimaging biomarker findings, participants who




Fig. 2. Preclinical AD grades. AD: Alzheimer’s disease, SNAP: suspected non-AD pathophysiology.

Table 1. Demographic data and clinical characteristics

Variables	Amyloid-SCD (n=23)	Amyloid+SCD (n=8)	p-value
Age (yr)	67.83±6.92	73.00±4.66	0.060
Education (yr)	9.85±5.43	8.25±2.66	0.288
Sex (female)	17/23 (73.9)	5/8 (62.5)	0.660
APOE4 allele	4/23 (17.4)	3/8 (37.5)	0.335
DM	5/23 (21.7)	2/8 (25.0)	0.849
Hypertension	10/23 (43.5)	2/8 (25.0)	0.433
Global SUVR (ratio)	1.169±0.052	1.461±0.207	0.005*
Lacune	2.65±5.66	1.13±1.36	0.461
Cortical microbleed	0.17±0.71	1.17±2.63	0.173
Periventricular WMH (grade 1/2/3)	15/5/3	1/5/2	0.034*
Deep WMH (grade 1/2/3)	18/4/1	3/4/1	0.105
Hippocampal atrophy (grade≥1.5)	2/23 (8.7)	4/8 (50)	0.011*
GDepS, short form	5.30±4.02	4.63±4.81	0.698
K-MMSE	27.91±1.70	27.38±2.00	0.467
Forward digit span (%ile)	67.18±30.62	67.92±23.96	0.952
K-BNT (%ile)	57.68±23.09	65.04±21.33	0.436
SVLT, immediate recall (%ile)	50.68±24.04	38.17±22.29	0.207
SVLT, delayed recall (%ile)	44.47±23.15	50.94±16.41	0.473
SVLT, recognition (%ile)	52.97±23.03	46.20±19.71	0.465
RCFT, copy (%ile)	68.63±20.68	74.10±13.24	0.491
RCFT, immediate recall (%ile)	57.00±22.00	67.19±35.82	0.353
RCFT, delayed recall (%ile)	53.85±23.52	69.71±30.69	0.143
RCFT, recognition (%ile)	55.17±27.52	44.79±29.13	0.376
COWAT, phonemic (%ile)	47.65±23.80	49.18±17.81	0.870
Stroop, color reading (%ile)	62.60±28.33	63.73±36.46	0.929
K-TMT-B (%ile)	62.72±18.58	55.46±18.39	0.351

Data are shown as mean±standard deviation or number (%).

SCD: subjective cognitive decline, APOE4: apolipoprotein ε4, DM: diabetes mellitus, SUVR: standardized uptake value ratio, WMH: white matter hyperintensities, GDepS: geriatric depression scale, K-MMSE: Korean version of the Mini-Mental State Examination, K-BNT: Korean version of Boston Naming Test, RCFT: Rey Complex Figure Test, SVLT: Seoul Verbal Learning Test, COWAT: Controlled Oral Word Association Test, K-TMT-B: Korean version of Trail Making Test-B.

*Significant difference between the groups (p<0.05).

Table 2. Results of the self-report questionnaire

Question	Amyloid-SCD (n=23)	Amyloid+SCD (n=8)	p-value
Symptoms' onset over 65 (yr)	13/23 (56.5)	6/8 (75)	0.433
Duration of the symptoms (yr)	3.67±4.34	5.15±5.33	0.440
Q1) Existence of memory decline	23/23, 100	8/8, 100	1.000
Q2) Existence of any non-amnestic decline	16/23, 69.6	6/8, 76	0.888
Q3) Most common non-amnestic complaint	Calculation (7/16), language (7/16)	Calculation (3/6), language (3/6)	NA
Q4) Amnestic presentation	20/23 (87)	6/8 (75)	0.583
Q5) Symptoms' onset age	64.35±7.87	67.88±7.28	0.275
Q6) Relative scoring compared with the past (1-5)	3.41±0.69	3.75±0.89	0.276
Q7) Worse than others of the same age	15/23 (65.2)	5/8 (62.5)	1.000
Q8) Relative scoring compared with others (1-5)	3.76±0.90	4.13±0.84	0.325
Q9) Worries associated with the decline	19/23 (82.6)	6/8 (75)	0.634
Q10) Informant's report about the decline	12/23 (52.2)	6/8 (75)	0.412
CFQ total score (0-100)	27.83±12.77	32.38±22.44	0.601

Data are shown as mean±standard deviation or number (%).

SCD: subjective cognitive decline, CFQ: cognitive failure questionnaire, NA: not applicable.

reported 'informant reporting a decline,' 'feeling of worse performance than others,' and 'age of symptom onset after 65 years old' showed numerically different distributions of preclinical AD grades, although they did not reach a statistical significance ($p>0.05$, **Fig. 3**). In terms of amyloidosis (stage 1 or stage 2), participants with 'informant reporting a decline' or 'age of symptom onset after 65 years old' showed numerically higher incidences of stage 1 and 2, which indicates more Alzheimer's pathologic changes (**Fig. 3**).




Fig. 3. Preclinical AD grades based on self-report questionnaire (A) Informant's report about the decline (B) Worse than others of the same age group (C) Symptom's onset age over 65 years old. '1' indicates 'yes' and '0' indicates 'no' for the question. AD: Alzheimer's disease, SNAP: suspected non-AD pathophysiology.

DISCUSSIONS

In this study, we examined the biomarker distribution in SCD participants and investigated whether specific clinical features using a self-administered questionnaire differed according to Alzheimer's pathologic changes. The categorization of complaints using a self-administered questionnaire is critical in the following aspects. First, subjects with SCD still maintain normal cognition by repetitive compensations for the rapid cognitive decline. Hence, the subjective complaints are the only clinical symptom that can be assessed. Moreover, the subjects in this population can explain and report their symptoms in detail because they retain normal cognitive functions. Second, numerous studies are investigating the reliability of screening tools in SCD used in the detection of AD-related pathologies. This is because, it is an upcoming risk factor for AD and a target population for secondary preventive therapy. Third, *in vivo* detection of amyloidosis with amyloid PET in all subjects with SCD are not currently available due to high costs, reluctance of the subjects, and ethical considerations. Hence, the categorization of SCD into high-risk group that requires further biomarker evaluation; additionally, the identification of low-risk groups who do not require further work-up would also be clinically important.

Our results showed 3 major findings. First, 25.8% of SCD participants had increased amyloid deposition, which was slightly higher than the one existing in previous studies for the elderly with normal cognition irrespective of subjective cognitive complaints.^{20,21} This could be expected because subjective cognitive complaint is known to be associated with elevated amyloid depositions. Thus, the subjects with SCD showed more AD pathologies in comparison to the general populations.^{9,21} Second, amyloid-positive SCDs showed more severe periventricular white matter and neurodegenerative changes represented by hippocampal atrophy. Hippocampal atrophy is a well-known neuroimaging risk factor of AD. Although the association between cerebrovascular markers and amyloidosis in non-demented elderly is controversial, recent studies reported significant relationship of WMH to AD pathologies, which can be explained by 1) common risk factors such as hypertension, diabetes, obesity, and smoking; 2) cerebral amyloid angiopathy causing vascular amyloidosis; and 3) potential other mechanisms by which cerebrovascular changes directly accelerate amyloid depositions.²² Third, participants who reported the 'informant reporting a decline' or 'symptom onset after 65 years old' showed different distributions of preclinical AD grades and numerically higher incidences of Alzheimer's pathologic changes, although none of the self-administered questionnaires showed statistically significant differences between amyloid-positive and amyloid-negative groups. Our results are in accordance with the previous studies that investigated the relevance of 'informant reports' for detecting the subtle AD-related cognitive decline.²³⁻²⁸ They found that informant's reports were significantly associated with AD biomarkers, risk factors of progression to AD, and enabled discrimination of preclinical AD.²³⁻²⁷ Informants' reports are thought to contribute to the discrimination of AD by providing a longitudinal, objective overview of cognitive decline in this 'subjective' complainers. The 'symptom onset after 65 years old' indicates that, the cognitive decline was developed recently; considering that amyloid depositions increase with aging and there is evidence that the onset of cognitive decline within a few years is more predictive of AD than the lifelong cognitive complaints, and onset age of symptom is one of the important questions.²

Several explanations may have been put forth to explain the failure to reach significant differences. For example, it may be due to under-power related with the small sample size, insufficient discriminatory power of a single question to detect Alzheimer's pathologic changes, or innate clinic-pathologic dissociations between clinical symptoms and amyloidosis in this population. Despite the low statistical power, the numerical difference in the distributions of biomarker findings between the 'yes' and 'no' group in specific questions should be re-assessed in future longitudinal studies.

Another interesting issue is whether the degree of subjective complaints or objective cognitive scores is associated with AD pathologies. In our study, the total CFQ scores did not differ between amyloid-positive and negative groups. This is consistent with the previous studies reporting that amounts of subjective complaints were not associated with cognitive performance or Alzheimer's pathologies but were strongly related to psychological and personality measures.²³ The neuropsychological tests scores were not different from the amyloid-positive and negative groups in our study. It is possible that standard neuropsychological tests are not sensitive enough to detect the subtle cognitive changes in preclinical AD because they were originally developed to detect cognitive impairment in later stages.

Our study has several limitations. First, the sample size is relatively small to indicate any statistical power. Thus, it may limit the generalizability of our findings. However, this study would be meaningful since it is a prospective study that aims to adapt the new

questionnaire and assess the feasibility of the questionnaire. Moreover, considering that SCD subjects maintain normal function and do not require regular medical assistance, participant recruitment in a single center was difficult. Second, the cross-sectional data required longitudinal evaluation of the progression to MCI/dementia. Third, extensive biomarker evaluations including work-ups for the tau depositions or quantitative measuring of neurodegenerations are lacking. In future studies, reliable cut-off points should be applied for appropriate categorization of biomarker evaluation into normal or abnormal findings. Nevertheless, our study has strengths, in that, we adapted a self-administered questionnaire as the first step for predicting AD, based on extensive neuropsychological tests, neuroimaging biomarker findings for amyloidosis and neurodegeneration, and detailed assessment of cognitive complaints.

In conclusion, amyloid-positive SCDs were different from amyloid-negative SCDs in relation to neuroimaging biomarkers such as WMH and hippocampal neurodegenerative changes. In the self-reported questionnaire, participants with the 'informant reporting a decline' or 'older age of onset' presented more incidences of preclinical AD, compared to those without these features. A few self-reported clinical features about the cognitive decline might give important clues in the prediction of Alzheimer's pathologic changes in subjects with SCD, which is worth reassessment in future studies.

REFERENCES

1. Reisberg B, Pritchep L, Mosconi L, John ER, Glodzik-Sobanska L, Boksay I, et al. The pre-mild cognitive impairment, subjective cognitive impairment stage of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 2008;4:S98-S108.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
2. Jessen F, Amariglio RE, van Boxtel M, Breteler M, Ceccaldi M, Chételat G, et al. A conceptual framework for research on subjective cognitive decline in preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 2014;10:844-852.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
3. Hong YJ, Lee JH. Subjective cognitive decline and Alzheimer's disease spectrum disorder. *Dement Neurocogn Disord* 2017;16:40-47.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
4. Sperling RA, Aisen PS, Beckett LA, Bennett DA, Craft S, Fagan AM, et al. Toward defining the preclinical stages of Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the national institute on aging-Alzheimer's association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 2011;7:280-292.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
5. Buckley RF, Villemagne VL, Masters CL, Ellis KA, Rowe CC, Johnson K, et al. A conceptualization of the utility of subjective cognitive decline in clinical trials of preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *J Mol Neurosci* 2016;60:354-361.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
6. van Harten AC, Visser PJ, Pijnenburg YA, Teunissen CE, Blankenstein MA, Scheltens P, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid A β 42 is the best predictor of clinical progression in patients with subjective complaints. *Alzheimers Dement* 2013;9:481-487.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
7. Hong YJ, Yoon B, Shim YS, Kim SO, Kim HJ, Choi SH, et al. Predictors of clinical progression of subjective memory impairment in elderly subjects: data from the Clinical Research Centers for Dementia of South Korea (CREDOS). *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 2015;40:158-165.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
8. Risacher SL, Kim S, Nho K, Foroud T, Shen L, Petersen RC, et al. APOE effect on Alzheimer's disease biomarkers in older adults with significant memory concern. *Alzheimers Dement* 2015;11:1417-1429.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)

9. Zwan MD, Villemagne VL, Doré V, Buckley R, Bourgeat P, Veljanoski R, et al. Subjective memory complaints in APOE4 carriers are associated with high amyloid- β burden. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2016;49:1115-1122.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
10. Morris JC. The clinical dementia rating (CDR): current version and scoring rules. *Neurology* 1993;43:2412-2414.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
11. Broadbent DE, Cooper PF, FitzGerald P, Parkes KR. The cognitive failures questionnaire (CFQ) and its correlates. *Br J Clin Psychol* 1982;21:1-16.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
12. Noh Y, Lee Y, Seo SW, Jeong JH, Choi SH, Back JH, et al. A new classification system for ischemia using a combination of deep and periventricular white matter hyperintensities. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis* 2014;23:636-642.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
13. Scheltens P, Leys D, Barkhof F, Huglo D, Weinstein HC, Vermersch P, et al. Atrophy of medial temporal lobes on MRI in "probable" Alzheimer's disease and normal ageing: diagnostic value and neuropsychological correlates. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1992;55:967-972.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
14. Becker GA, Ichise M, Barthel H, Luthardt J, Patt M, Seese A, et al. PET quantification of ^{18}F -florbetaben binding to β -amyloid deposits in human brains. *J Nucl Med* 2013;54:723-731.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
15. Barthel H, Gertz HJ, Dresel S, Peters O, Bartenstein P, Buerger K, et al. Cerebral amyloid- β PET with florbetaben (^{18}F) in patients with Alzheimer's disease and healthy controls: a multicentre phase 2 diagnostic study. *Lancet Neurol* 2011;10:424-435.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
16. Kang Y, Jang S, Na DL. *Seoul Neuropsychological Screening Battery (SNSB-II)*. 2nd ed. Seoul: Human Brain Research & Consulting Co., 2012.
17. Han C, Jo SA, Jo I, Kim E, Park MH, Kang Y. An adaptation of the Korean mini-mental state examination (K-MMSE) in elderly Koreans: demographic influence and population-based norms (the AGE study). *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2008;47:302-310.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
18. Jack CR Jr, Knopman DS, Jagust WJ, Petersen RC, Weiner MW, Aisen PS, et al. Tracking pathophysiological processes in Alzheimer's disease: an updated hypothetical model of dynamic biomarkers. *Lancet Neurol* 2013;12:207-216.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
19. Claus JJ, Staekenborg SS, Holl DC, Roorda JJ, Schuur J, Koster P, et al. Practical use of visual medial temporal lobe atrophy cut-off scores in Alzheimer's disease: validation in a large memory clinic population. *Eur Radiol* 2017;27:3147-3155.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
20. Kern S, Zetterberg H, Kern J, Zettergren A, Waern M, Höglund K, et al. Prevalence of preclinical Alzheimer disease: comparison of current classification systems. *Neurology* 2018;90:e1682-e1691.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
21. Rowe CC, Ellis KA, Rimajova M, Bourgeat P, Pike KE, Jones G, et al. Amyloid imaging results from the Australian Imaging, Biomarkers and Lifestyle (AIBL) study of aging. *Neurobiol Aging* 2010;31:1275-1283.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
22. Kandel BM, Avants BB, Gee JC, McMillan CT, Erus G, Doshi J, et al. White matter hyperintensities are more highly associated with preclinical Alzheimer's disease than imaging and cognitive markers of neurodegeneration. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)* 2016;4:18-27.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
23. Valech N, Mollica MA, Olives J, Tort A, Fortea J, Lleó A, et al. Informants' perception of subjective cognitive decline helps to discriminate preclinical Alzheimer's disease from aging. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2015;48 Suppl 1:S87-S98.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
24. Carr DB, Gray S, Baty J, Morris JC. The value of informant versus individual's complaints of memory impairment in early dementia. *Neurology* 2000;55:1724-1726.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
25. Okonkwo OC, Alosco ML, Griffith HR, Mielke MM, Shaw LM, Trojanowski JQ, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid abnormalities and rate of decline in everyday function across the dementia spectrum: normal aging, mild cognitive impairment, and Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol* 2010;67:688-696.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)

26. Rabin LA, Wang C, Katz MJ, Derby CA, Buschke H, Lipton RB. Predicting Alzheimer's disease: neuropsychological tests, self-reports, and informant reports of cognitive difficulties. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2012;60:1128-1134.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
27. Caselli RJ, Chen K, Locke DE, Lee W, Rontiva A, Bandy D, et al. Subjective cognitive decline: self and informant comparisons. *Alzheimers Dement* 2014;10:93-98.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
28. Hollands S, Lim YY, Buckley R, Pietrzak RH, Snyder PJ, Ames D, et al. Amyloid- β related memory decline is not associated with subjective or informant rated cognitive impairment in healthy adults. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2015;43:677-686.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)